

Ction Service 188160837300181

JLOPINTO

inso id

## Inspection Report

Stony Brook University Bst, Level 1 Room 223 Div Of Lab Animal Rsrc Stony Brook, NY 11794

Customer ID: 298

Certificate: 21-R-0039

Site: 001

DIV. OF LAB ANIMAL RESOURCES

Type: ROUTINE INSPECTION

Date: Jul-06-2016

2.31 (d) (1) (ii)

#### INSTITUTIONAL ANIMAL CARE AND USE COMMITTEE (IACUC).

Item IACUC 2.31 d 1 ii

For protocol 714306 the investigator failed to consider alternatives for the procedure involving the removal of the nicitating membrane.

The IACUC needs to insure the investigator considers alternatives to the painful procedures and provides a list of the sources consulted.

Correct from this day 7/6/2016

2.31 (e) (3)

#### INSTITUTIONAL ANIMAL CARE AND USE COMMITTEE (IACUC).

Item IACUC 2.31 e 3

At the time inspection a rabbit on study for protocol 714306 was reviewed and it was indicated that the animal was on a eye study and had its nictitating membrane removed. The investigator indicated that the procedure was in the draft protocol but a review of the full approved protocol and its amendments did not have this procedure in the approved protocol.

The IACUC needs to have the investigator submit the protocol including all procedures and the IACUC must approve all activities in the protocol.

Correct from this day 7/6/2016

2.33 (b) (5)

## ATTENDING VETERINARIAN AND ADEQUATE VETERINARY CARE.

Item Vet Care 2.33 b 5

At the time of inspection the investigator for protocol 714306 indicates the rabbit will be monitored for procedures, but they are no records for monitoring the rabbits during the procedures to show that the animals were under proper depth and not feeling any pain.

Medical records needs to be maintained to insure the animal is under proper care during the procedure and not feeling any pain post operatively.

Correct from this day 7/6/16



# Inspection Report

3.53 (a) (1)

### PRIMARY ENCLOSURES.

Item Primary enclosures 3.53 a1

In Sept of 2015 a rabbit was able to wedge himself in the vertical grill openings of the front door panel of his cage. The facility had to cut the cage door to free the animal, but upon release the animal expired. No other rabbits housed in similar rabbit cages were harmed.

Facility instituted a review of all rabbit cages and transferred rabbits to cages with different front door panels.

There have been no further incidents of this nature.

Facility needs to continue to monitor the primary enclosures.

Corrected before end of inspection

Inspection took place over 2 days 7/5-6/2016

Exit interview took place at the end of inspection

Prepared By:

JOHN LOPINTO, D V M

JOHN LOPINTO, D V M USDA, AP

USDA, APHIS, Animal Care Date:

Title: VETERINARY MEDICAL OFFICER Inspector 1008

Jul-06-2016

Received By:

(b)(6),(b)(7)(c)

Date:

Title:

Jul-06-2016

Page 2 of 2